

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

#:N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,543	01/12/2004	Jae-Bon Koo	. 6161.0124.US	9288
58027	7590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
H.C. PARK	& ASSOCIATES, PLC	ERDEM, FAZLI		
8500 LEESBU SUITE 7500	URG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, V	22182		2826	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/24/2006	<b>S</b> .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>.</b>		I Amuliantian Na	A 1: 4/->			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/754,543	KOO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fazli Erdem	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)☐ 3)☐ Dispositi	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E ion of Claims Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-40 is/are pending in the app	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>38-40</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 32</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6-31 and 33-37</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive to (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/754,543 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 38-40 allowed.

2. Claims 6-31 and 33-37 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al (2003/0183854) in view of Ueda (JP 04-279064) further in view of JP (5-190853)

Regarding Claims 1, 2 and 32, Kato et al. disclose a semiconductor device, method of manufacturing the same and method of designing the same where in Fig. 16a, P-channel TFT 501 has a different dimension than the N-channel TFT 502. Furthermore, the abstract section of Kato et al discloses that the semiconductor device is formed by laser crystallization. Kato et al. fail to disclose the two different channel thicknesses and the required physical makeup of polysilicon layer of two TFTs. However, Ueda discloses a display device where TFT 15 of display section and TFT 16 of driver circuit are manufactured at different processes and TFT15 has a smaller channel thickness than the channel of TFT16. Furthermore, JP 5-190873 discloses a display device where the

physical makeup of the polysilicon layer of the first TFT is different than the physical makeup of the second TFT.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required two channels with different thicknesses and the required physical makeup of the first and the second TFTs' polysilicon layers in Kato et al. al. as taught by Ueda and JP 5-190853, respectively, in order to have a liquid crystal display device with increased performance and reliability.

Regarding the last two paragraphs of this claim, examiner disregards the process parts since only the final product is relevant regardless of the process that is used to make the product, i.e., product by process.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,543 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free PAIR of PAIR of

FE August 17, 2006